

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,386	09/18/2003	Hitoshi Yamamoto	2271/70910	4427	
Ivan S. Kavruk	7590 06/26/2007 OV Fsq	EXAMINER			
Cooper & Dunham LLP			CALLAHAN, PAUL E		
1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2137		
	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		• •	06/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/664,386	YAMAMOTO ET	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Paul Callahan	2137		
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	nis communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FR - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of - If NO period for reply is specified above, - Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37	OM THE MAILING DA er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ate of this communication. the maximum statutory period of period for reply will, by statute in three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUI 36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status					
 Responsive to communication This action is FINAL. Since this application is included in accordance with 	2b)⊠ This n condition for allowar	action is non-final.	• •	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims				·	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31, 39-45 is/are rejuted from Claim(s) 32-38 is/are rejuted from Claim(s) is/are obtain(s) are subject that a specification is object that a sp	is/are withdraware allowed. ected. jected to. ect to restriction and/o	vn from consideration. r election requirement.			
	hat any objection to the t(s) including the correct	drawing(s) be held in abey ion is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	FR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4-16-04,7-26-	ring Review (PTO-948) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/664,386

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-45 are pending in the in the instant application and have been examined.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 32-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

As for independent claims 32 and 33, the claims are each directed towards a computer-program product comprising computer program instruction that when executed by a computer, cause a computer to undertake the steps in the program. However, in neither claim 32 or 33 is the computer-program product recited as being embodied in a computer-readable memory medium.

From MPEP Sec. 2106.01[R-5]:

FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTIVE MATERIAL: "DATA STRUCTURES"

REPRESENTING DESCRIPTIVE MATERIAL PER SE OR COMPUTER

Art Unit: 2137

PROGRAMS REPRESENTING COMPUTER LISTINGS PER SE:

"Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer-readable media are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. See, e.g., Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory). Such claimed data structures do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and other claimed aspects of the invention which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized."

Therefore claims 32 and 33 are rejected as being directed towards non-statutory subject matter. Claims 34-38 are dependent on claim 33 and are rejected on the same basis as that claim. None of the dependent claims cure the deficiency of claim 33 by reciting that the computer program product is embodied in a computer-readable memory medium.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-31 and 39-45 are allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art in the field does not teach the combination of features found in the independent claims, particularly including:

As per independent claims1, 4, 7-9, 14-16, 18-21, 23, and 24, the a PC card control device comprising an identification information acquisition part, and a first and

Application/Control Number: 10/664,386

Art Unit: 2137

second recording parts that identify the type of a connected card using identification information of the card acquired by the acquiring part and at least pieces of second identification information of the card recorded in the second recording part.

Page 4

As per independent claims 25, 26, 39, and 40, identifying a type of a connected card based on information acquired from the card by an identification information acquisition device, and utilizing second information stored in advance in the PC card control device.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following US Patent documents teach configurations of PC Card control devices pertinent to the Applicant's disclosure:

Oh et al. 6,807,597

Oh et al. 7,076,589

Oh et al. 6,470,284

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul E. Callahan whose telephone number is (571) 272-3869. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise, can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/664,386

Art Unit: 2137

incontrol Number: 10/004,30

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is: (571) 273-8300.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Paul E. Callahan/

6-20-07

EMMANUEL L. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER